

INTRODUCTION TO CONFIGURATION SPACES I

by ANNA CEPEK

transcribed by DAMIEN LEJAY

We are following that one paper from Knudsen called *Configuration spaces in algebraic topology*.

Let me write down an outline:

- Definitions and goals;
- Motivation;
- Configuration spaces of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{S}^1$;
- First properties

DEFINITION 0.1. — *For a topological space X , the configuration space of ordered points in X is the subspace of X^k :*

$$\mathrm{Conf}_k(X) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) / \forall i \neq j, x_i \neq x_j\}$$

with the subspace topology.

We can observe that there is an evident action of Σ_k on $\mathrm{Conf}_k(X)$ by permuting the indices. Explicitely, I mean

$$(\sigma, (x_1, \dots, x_k)) \mapsto (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})$$

DEFINITION 0.2. — *The unordered configuration space of X is the quotient*

$$\mathrm{B}_k(X) := (\mathrm{Conf}_k(X))_{\Sigma_k}.$$

The goal of Ben's paper is to understand the topology of these configuration spaces through the understanding of their homotopy groups and (co)homology groups. In particular in the case where X is a manifold.

Derived Seminar, November 2019, Pohang, Korea. ©2019 Damien Lejay. All rights reserved.

1 MOTIVATION

1.1 Invariants

Most of this paper just uses pretty elementary algebraic topology. And I think there is some categorical flavour.

Basically some people study configuration spaces really I think because they give good homeomorphism invariants of spaces: for example in knot theory. Let me give you some of this motivation. And I should follow his numbering, I am going to try to follow it. Sometimes I am going to quote him because he has some slogans.

Here is a slogan: ‘The homotopy type of a fixed configuration space is a homeomorphism invariant of the background manifold’. Ok, let us see some example.

Examples:

- $B_2(\mathbf{R}^m) \simeq B_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if $m = n$.
- $B_2(\mathbf{T}^2 - *) \not\simeq B_2(\mathbf{R}^2 - \mathbf{S}^0)$. But $\mathbf{T}^2 - * \simeq \mathbf{S}^1 \vee \mathbf{S}^1 \simeq \mathbf{R}^2 - \mathbf{S}^0$.

This indicates that configuration spaces are sensitive to proper homotopy types.

Here is the best example, I think it is a really nice example.

Lens spaces: for p, q relatively prime

$$L(p, q) := \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1 \amalg_{\mathbf{T}^2} \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$$

where the torus is embedding either via the identity or along a (p, q) -knot.

 THEOREM 1.1 (Reidemeister). — *One has*

- $L(p, q_1) \simeq L(p, q_2)$ if and only if $q_1 q_2 = \pm n^2 \pmod{p}$;
- $L(p, q_1) \cong L(p, q_2)$ if and only if $q_1 = \pm q_2^{\pm 1} \pmod{p}$.

 THEOREM 1.2 (Longoni-Salvatore). — *They show that*

$$\text{Conf}_2(L(7, 1)) \not\simeq \text{Conf}_2(L(7, 2))$$

but $L(7, 1) \simeq L(7, 2)$ and $L(7, 1) \not\cong L(7, 2)$.

1.2 Braids

There is a very nice presentation of the group

$$\pi_1(B_k(\mathbf{R}^2))$$

as braids.

1.3 Embeddings

- A) The space of framed (= rectilinear) embeddings from $\sqcup_k \mathbf{R}^n$ to $\mathbf{R}^n$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Conf}_k(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The collection of these spaces forms a topological operad called E_n ;
- B) There is this thing called factorisation homology: take the structure of an E_n -algebra locally on a manifold and paste it globally. I probably won't say very much. Factorisation homology probes a space by sending points to this manifold;
- C) One more thing: embedding calculus. The idea is you have $\text{Emb}(M, N)$ and you have an approximation tower $T_1 \text{Emb}(M, N), \leftarrow T_2 \text{Emb}(M, N) \leftarrow \dots$ etc. Each time you get closer and closer to $\text{Emb}(M, N)$.

2 CONFIGURATION SPACES OF EXAMPLES

We have

- I) $\text{Conf}_k(\emptyset) := \emptyset$ if $k > 0$ and $*$ otherwise;
- II) $\text{Conf}_0(X) := *$;
- III) $\text{Conf}_k(*) := \emptyset$ if $k > 1$ and $*$ otherwise;
- IV) $\text{Conf}_k(\mathbf{R}) \simeq \sqcup_{\Sigma_n} *$ and $B_k(\mathbf{R}) \simeq *$. Precisely, one can homeomorphically map $B_k((0, 1))$ to the space Δ^k of tuples $(t_0, \dots, t_k)$ in $(0, 1)$ with $\sum t_i = 1$. This map is the 'gap' map sending $(x_1 < \dots < x_k)$ to $(x_1, x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_k - x_{k-1}, 1 - x_k)$.
- V) In general $\text{Conf}_k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is not known. But $\text{Conf}_2(\mathbf{R}^n) \simeq \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $B_2(\mathbf{R}^n) \simeq \mathbf{RP}^{n-1}$. To see this, map homeomorphically $\text{Conf}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathbf{R}^n$ by sending

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{\|x_2 - x_1\|}, \|x_2 - x_1\|, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \right)$$

- VI) $\text{Conf}_k(\mathbf{S}^1)$ is known. It is homotopy equivalent to $\sqcup_{\Sigma_{k-1}} \mathbf{S}^1$. And $B_k(\mathbf{S}^1) \simeq \mathbf{S}^1$.

I suggest you give your students the exercise of computing $\text{Conf}_2(\mathbf{S}^1)$ because it's all about cutting and pasting: $\text{Conf}_2(\mathbf{S}^1) = \mathbf{T}^2 - \text{diag} \simeq \mathbf{S}^1$. And $B_2(\mathbf{S}^1)$ is homotopy equivalent to a Möbius Band.